

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAŢE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,392	11/13/2001	Ioannis Pavlidis	H0002443-01	3013
128	7590 09/03/2003			
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. 101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			EXAMINER	
			DEJESUS, LYDIA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859 .	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/008,392	PAVLIDIS, IOANNIS			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
· .	Lydia M. De Jesús	2859			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate; timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) □ approved or b) □ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5.					
10. Other:	P	\checkmark			
	Diego Gu	tierrez			
	- · · · · D - A				

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/008,392



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With respect to Applicant's argument stating that Anbar does not transform thermal image data to change of blood flow rate: This argument is not persuasive because claim 1, for example, recites "transforming the thermal image data to blood flow rate data for use in determining whether the person is deceptive or non-deceptive, wherein transforming the thermal image data comprises determining change of blood flow rate" and since the HST parameter determined by Anbar depends to an extent on blood flow, a change in said HST parameter will reflect a change in blood flow rate, as discussed in the Final Action. It is further noted upon review of Applicant's remarks with respect to the book "The Master of Disguise" and the submission of relevant portions of the book entitled "Remote Sensing, Principles and Interpretation", both references have been considered by the examiner. An updated copy of the information disclosure statement filed on September 20, 2003 is enclosed in this action.